## BURLINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Burlington School District Equity Report September 7, 2016

The attached data sets span a period of three years beginning in 2013 examining comparative student performance in the areas of climate and inclusion (in and out of school suspensions), standardized testing (NECAP/SBAC), Algebra 2 enrollment and grades (A-B), and Honors/AP classes. The data is disaggregated based on race/ethnicity, Non-FRL and FRL, Non-ELL and ELL, and Non-IEP and IEP.

With regards to climate and inclusion, white students have experienced a decrease in both types of suspensions while students of color in general along with IEP and FRL students have experienced an increase. In terms of student achievement as defined by performance on standardized tests and Algebra 2 enrollment and A-B grades, similar disparities occur among the groups but the most significant gap occurs in Honors/AP class enrollment. These disparities are unacceptable and will be addressed strategically and tactically with leadership from the Equity Team.

There are two important factors that define a student's success in achieving their educational goals: a strong sense of belonging and feeling that they are good enough, smart enough. The Equity Team will be promoting and working from a comprehensive definition of education equity as defined by Paul Gorski. Education equity is achieved when Equity Literacy, positive socio-cultural identity, and academic achievement are aligned. Paul Gorski defines Equity Literacy as the cultivation of the skills and consciousness that enable us to recognize, respond to, and redress conditions that deny some students access to educational and other opportunities enjoyed by their peers. The overarching goal of the Equity Team is to provide positive support to educators in the district so that education equity is achieved in all learning spaces.

## BURLINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT



The team has established its roles and responsibilities and will operate through lateral management as opposed to hierarchical management. Establishing and maintaining trust will be essential as we will work and make decisions through consensus. Strategies and tactics for achieving education equity will be vetted by the team as it always looks to support one another in this work.

The team will meet for the first time the week of September 5<sup>th</sup>. Our goal will be to develop our work plan so we will have in place a coordinated effort to grow education equity in the district. We will be establishing relationships with key educator leaders built on trust and reciprocity in order to work with them as allies. While building trust with educators will be an ongoing process, we will first set out to map all of the equity initiatives that are occurring and how do we build on what is working and effective.

Partnering with educators will be important to best understand what training and support is needed but also providing the space for educators to develop innovative practices for achieving education equity. This has been a highly successful approach with the Partner Teacher Program at BHS that Partnership for Change has supported the past three years. Equally important will be to establish strategies that can offer constructive feedback so educators can effectively engage all of their students. Disengaged students usually become underachievers that can lead to behavioral issues that ultimately disrupts their education. The team will prioritize its approaches to maximize educator buy-in and is committed to present its work plan a Diversity and Equity Committee meeting.

	De	emographic Da	nta	Cilmate and Inclusion								
				13-14 % all	14-15 % all	15-16 % all	13-14 % of	14-15 % of students	15-16 % of students	13-14 % of	14-15 % of	15-16 % of
	SY 13-14 %	SY 14-15 %	SY 15-16 %	students with	students with	students with	students with	with In-	with In-	students with	students with	students with
	of Total	of Total	of Total	95 % plus	95 % plus	95 % plus	In-School	School	School	Out-Of-School	Out-Of-School	Out-Of-School
Group	Population	Population	Population	attendance	attendance	attendance	Suspension	Suspension	Suspension	Suspensions	Suspensions	Suspensions
Female	48.1%	47.5%	47.2%	70.7%	69.4%	73.6%	25.4%	26.2%	21.5%	27.8%	22.9%	34.2%
Male	51.9%	52.5%	52.8%	67.5%	68.5%	74.1%	74.6%	73.8%	78.5%	72.2%	77.1%	65.8%
Non-FRL	51.9%	53.9%	53.1%	72.1%	71.1%	77.1%	17.6%	20.8%	20.9%	20.1%	20.6%	14.0%
FRL	48.1%	46.0%	46.9%	65.8%	66.3%	70.2%	82.4%	79.2%	79.1%	79.9%	79.4%	86.0%
White	66.5%	65.7%	65.6%	66.4%	64.5%	71.2%	52.3%	53.7%	45.1%	53.8%	51.9%	52.6%
Black	14.1%	14.0%	14.2%	77.6%	80.8%	87.1%	29.5%	28.2%	37.0%	34.3%	34.4%	35.1%
Asian	10.7%	11.2%	11.8%	76.1%	79.4%	77.6%	8.3%	8.7%	8.6%	4.1%	3.8%	4.4%
Hispanic	3.4%	3.5%	2.2%	64.5%	68.0%	74.7%	3.1%	3.4%	2.5%	1.8%	3.1%	0.9%
Multi-ethnic	5.1%	5.4%	6.2%	68.6%	70.5%	65.6%	5.7%	6.0%	6.8%	5.3%	6.9%	7.0%
Non-ELL	85.2%	86.1%	85.1%	67.5%	67.0%	72.6%	82.4%	79.2%	76.7%	84.0%	79.4%	82.5%
ELL	14.7%	13.8%	14.9%	78.2%	80.9%	81.1%	17.6%	20.8%	23.3%	16.0%	20.6%	17.5%
Non-IEP	87.3%	88.2%	85.1%	69.6%	69.8%	75.4%	74.1%	77.9%	66.3%	71.0%	70.2%	55.3%
IEP	12.7%	11.8%	14.9%	65.2%	62.6%	65.0%	25.9%	22.1%	33.7%	29.0%	29.8%	44.7%

\* for example:
66.4% of
white
students
attended
school 95 %
oor more of
the time

\* for example: 82.4% % of students with in-school suspension were Non-ELL. This is an unduplicated count

\* for example: 29.0 % of students with in-school suspension were IEP. This is an unduplicated count

	D	emographic Da	Standardized Tests % Proficient							
	SY 13-14 % of	SY 14-15 % of	SY 15-16 % of		14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16	
	Total	Total	Total	13-14 NECAP	SBAC	SBAC	NECAP	SBAC	SBAC	
Group	Population	Population	Population	Reading	Reading	Reading	Math	Math	Math	
Female	48.1%	47.5%	47.2%	71.8%	64.0%	63.2%	56.1%	44.9%	47.4%	
Male	51.9%	52.5%	52.8%	58.5%	50.7%	47.6%	53.7%	43.9%	43.3%	
Non-FRL	51.9%	53.9%	53.1%	84.3%	76.9%	75.4%	75.2%	64.0%	64.5%	
FRL	48.1%	46.0%	46.9%	43.4%	30.6%	29.1%	32.4%	19.2%	20.9%	
White	66.5%	65.7%	65.6%	73.5%	66.3%	63.8%	64.4%	55.0%	54.7%	
Black	14.1%	14.0%	14.2%	38.0%	25.6%	27.0%	22.6%	12.1%	14.5%	
Asian	10.7%	11.2%	11.8%	37.0%	31.3%	35.1%	32.3%	24.2%	27.1%	
Hispanic	3.4%	3.5%	2.2%	73.5%	67.7%	59.3%	58.0%	37.9%	44.4%	
Multi-ethnic	5.1%	5.4%	6.2%	71.4%	64.9%	59.3%	60.4%	47.5%	46.0%	
Non-ELL	85.2%	86.1%	85.1%	72.0%	63.2%	60.4%	61.0%	49.4%	49.6%	
ELL	14.7%	13.8%	14.9%	15.4%	8.3%	11.9%	14.0%	7.9%	10.8%	
Non-IEP	87.3%	88.2%	85.1%	70.7%	62.8%	62.3%	59.7%	48.9%	50.9%	
IEP	12.7%	11.8%	14.9%	22.6%	13.2%	7.5%	18.4%	9.0%	7.8%	

\* for example: 71.8 % of females taking the NECAP reading test scored proficient or higher

	D	emographic Da	ta	Algebra 2 Enrollment and Grades							
	SY 13-14 % of	SY 14-15 % of	SY 15-16 % of	13-14	14-15	15-16	13-14	14-15	15-16		
	Total	Total	Total	Algebra 2	Algebra 2	Algebra 2	Algebra 2	Algebra 2	Algebra 2		
Group	Population	Population	Population	enroll %	enroll %	enroll %	A-B %	A-B %	A-B %		
Female	48.1%	47.5%	47.2%	50.6%	47.6%	48.2%	67.8%	62.6%	69.1%		
Male	51.9%	52.5%	52.8%	49.4%	52.4%	51.8%	50.4%	54.1%	57.5%		
Non-FRL	51.9%	53.9%	53.1%	60.1%	65.4%	63.8%	72.9%	72.8%	76.7%		
FRL	48.1%	46.0%	46.9%	39.9%	34.6%	36.2%	38.7%	30.6%	39.2%		
White	66.5%	65.7%	65.6%	66.5%	66.3%	70.2%	67.1%	66.7%	74.7%		
Black	14.1%	14.0%	14.2%	11.6%	8.7%	10.6%	18.5%	27.8%	20.0%		
Asian	10.7%	11.2%	11.8%	18.5%	17.3%	12.1%	55.8%	38.9%	29.4%		
Hispanic	3.4%	3.5%	2.2%	2.1%	2.9%	3.5%	80.0%	66.7%	60.0%		
Multi-ethnic	5.1%	5.4%	6.2%	1.3%	4.8%	3.5%	33.3%	60.0%	40.0%		
Non-ELL	85.2%	86.1%	85.1%	88.8%	91.3%	92.9%	59.9%	61.6%	66.4%		
ELL	14.7%	13.8%	14.9%	11.2%	8.7%	7.1%	53.8%	22.2%	20.0%		
Non-IEP	87.3%	88.2%	85.1%	98.7%	97.1%	97.9%	60.0%	58.9%	63.8%		
IEP	12.7%	11.8%	14.9%	1.3%	2.9%	2.1%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%		

example: 50.4% of males taking Algebra 2

\* for

\* for example: 50.6% of Algebra 2 students were female

received an A or B final grade

	D	emographic Da	ta	Honors and AP classes							
	SY 13-14 % of	SY 14-15 % of	SY 15-16 % of	13-14	14-15	15-16					
	Total	Total	Total	Honors	Honors	Honors	13-14 AP	14-15 AP	15-16 AP		
Group	Population	Population	Population	enroll %	enroll %	enroll %	enroll %	enroll %	enroll %		
Female	48.1%	47.5%	47.2%	55.1%	50.5%	50.4%	58.3%	56.2%	50.0%		
Male	51.9%	52.5%	52.8%	44.9%	49.5%	49.6%	41.7%	43.8%	50.0%		
Non-FRL	51.9%	53.9%	53.1%	80.4%	82.2%	78.4%	89.7%	84.3%	89.0%		
FRL	48.1%	46.0%	46.9%	19.6%	17.8%	21.6%	10.3%	15.7%	11.0%		
White	66.5%	65.7%	65.6%	80.9%	78.9%	75.7%	82.7%	82.4%	80.8%		
Black	14.1%	14.0%	14.2%	5.5%	5.9%	7.0%	9.0%	6.5%	2.7%		
Asian	10.7%	11.2%	11.8%	7.8%	7.3%	8.0%	3.2%	6.5%	6.8%		
Hispanic	3.4%	3.5%	2.2%	3.0%	3.1%	4.5%	2.6%	3.9%	3.4%		
Multi-ethnic	5.1%	5.4%	6.2%	2.8%	4.7%	4.8%	2.6%	0.7%	6.2%		
Non-ELL	85.2%	86.1%	85.1%	98.6%	99.1%	97.5%	100.0%	100.0%	99.3%		
ELL	14.7%	13.8%	14.9%	1.4%	0.9%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%		
Non-IEP	87.3%	88.2%	85.1%	98.6%	99.1%	97.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
IEP	12.7%	11.8%	14.9%	1.4%	0.9%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		

\* for example: 55.1% of Honors students were female