BHS Renovation Scenarios

August 21, 2018
Q&A Agenda

Possible Motion

Building Option Review

Survey Results

Opportunity for Questions and Answers
  - Tax Impact
  - Annual Budget Impact
  - Total Indebtedness
  - Building and Site Plan (w/ engineers, architects, consultants, Dir. Property Services)

Public Comment and Input for Next Steps
Be it resolved by the Burlington Board of School Commissioners as follows; That the Burlington City Council be respectfully requested pursuant to Section 63 of the City Charter to place the following question on the ballot of a Special City Meeting to be held on November 6, 2018:

“Shall the legal voters of the city authorize the city council to pledge its full faith and credit by the issuance of its general obligation orders, warrants, notes or bonds in an amount not to exceed $________Million for the purpose of making capital improvements to the public schools of the city, including the construction of a new or rehabilitated high school.”

NOTE: Language could be added indicating the Board’s intent to partially fund the project with other revenue sources, such as private donations or budget surpluses.
BHS Renovation Scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario A</th>
<th>Scenario B</th>
<th>Scenario C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$60 million</td>
<td>$65 million</td>
<td>$68.5 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scenarios include a new core BHS building, exterior insulated walls, windows, roof, LED lighting, enhanced security and a new sprinkler system. The new facility will be compliant with the ADA, Vermont Energy and local building codes. [Detail Options]

- **BTC "F" Building**
  - Upgrades - limited to windows, bathrooms & security ($25 sf)
  - Upgrades - limited to windows, bathrooms & security ($25 sf)
  - Full Upgrades - similar to A and B Buildings ($151 sf)

- **“CHPS” Design Certification**
  - Not included
  - Meets requirements for certification
  - Higher Performance/Greater Efficiency

- **Building Materials**
  - Least expensive available
  - Greater durability and maintenance
  - Greater durability and maintenance

- **Air Conditioning**
  - None
  - Offices & some common areas
  - Offices & common areas with classroom dehumidification

- **Furniture**
  - Minimum for new spaces only
  - Replacement of existing and new spaces
  - Replacement of existing and new spaces
BHS ReEnvisioning Bond Survey

Getting the Word Out

Direct Email to:
  - ReEnvisioning Committee
  - Tour Participants
  - Board
  - All Staff
  - All Parents
  - On-line Form Update List
  - PTO
  - NPA Coordinators

Front Porch Forum: 7 of 20 Forums
Text Message to All Staff/Parents

Social Media
  - Facebook: 8 Posts, 2529 Reach
  - Twitter: 4, 1812 Impressions, 117 Engagements
  - Instagram: 1 Post, 170 Reach

Web: Banner Alert and on BHS Page
Tabling at City Market
BHS ReEnvisioning Bond Survey

Preliminary Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANSWER CHOICES</th>
<th>RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$60 million</td>
<td>0.50% 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$65 million</td>
<td>5.99% 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$68.5 million</td>
<td>70.32% 282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not support putting a bond on the November ballot.</td>
<td>10.72% 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I support putting a bond on the November ballot, but I am not sure which plan.</td>
<td>4.99% 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I support putting a bond on the ballot but would like to see a different amount.</td>
<td>7.48% 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BHS ReEnvisioning Bond Survey

Preliminary Results

Not sure which plan
Would support different amount
Adjusted Results

30 Respondents Chose: "Support Other Amount"
Some of these can be put into categories:
  9 for "More"
  4 for "Less"
  2 for "Any amount"

Some of the "Less" answers request deferred maintenance only. Some of the more suggest an entire new building in a different location.

79.5%
Support of putting a bond on the November ballot.

72.5%
Support Option 3: $68.5m (or more)
Estimated tax rate increase assumes all debt paid for with tax increases. When all debt has been retired (FY52) the tax rate would be about 4% lower than FY19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BHS Renovation</th>
<th>Other Debt</th>
<th>Total Debt Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2020</td>
<td>minimal</td>
<td>2.15%</td>
<td>2.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60M Peak (FY26)</td>
<td>5.60%</td>
<td>4.99%</td>
<td>10.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$65M Peak (FY26)</td>
<td>6.07%</td>
<td>4.99%</td>
<td>11.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$68.5M Peak (FY26)</td>
<td>6.39%</td>
<td>4.99%</td>
<td>11.38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures are rounded. Analysis holds all other tax variables constant at FY19 values.
## Tax Impact on $250k Home

This is the additional impact resulting from this particular scenario. It does not reflect the total tax bill that is a function of all school spending.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>$60 Million Plan</th>
<th>$65 Million Plan</th>
<th>$68.5 Million Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY20</td>
<td>minimal</td>
<td>minimal</td>
<td>minimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY21</td>
<td>$17</td>
<td>$17</td>
<td>$17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY22</td>
<td>$190</td>
<td>$212</td>
<td>$227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY23-50</td>
<td>$259</td>
<td>$281</td>
<td>$296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY51</td>
<td>$242</td>
<td>$263</td>
<td>$278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY52</td>
<td>$69</td>
<td>$69</td>
<td>$69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY53</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Income Tax Impact on $50k Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>$60 Million Plan</th>
<th>$65 Million Plan</th>
<th>$68 Million Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY20</td>
<td>minimal</td>
<td>minimal</td>
<td>minimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY21</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY22</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$56</td>
<td>$60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY23-50</td>
<td>$68</td>
<td>$74</td>
<td>$78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY51</td>
<td>$64</td>
<td>$70</td>
<td>$73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY52</td>
<td>$18</td>
<td>$18</td>
<td>$18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY53</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is the **additional** impact resulting from this particular scenario. It does not reflect the total tax bill that is a function of all school spending.
Change in Tax Rate from FY19 ($60M Scenario)

Percentage Change in Tax Rate from FY19

- Current Capital Plan Projection
- Additional BHS Debt Service Projection
Change in Tax Rate from FY19 ($65M Scenario)

Percentage Change in Tax Rate from FY19

Current Capital Plan Projection

Additional BHS Debt Service Projection
Change in Tax Rate from FY19 ($68.5M Scenario)

Percentage Change in Tax Rate from FY19

- Current Capital Plan Projection
- Additional BHS Debt Service Projection
Annual debt service is the amount of money that needs to be budgeted each year to pay debts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BHS Renovation</th>
<th>Other Debt</th>
<th>Total Debt Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2020</td>
<td>minimal</td>
<td>$4.0 million</td>
<td>$4.0 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60M Peak (FY26)</td>
<td>$3.5 million</td>
<td>$5.7 million</td>
<td>$9.2 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$65M Peak (FY26)</td>
<td>$3.8 million</td>
<td>$5.7 million</td>
<td>$9.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$68.5M Peak (FY26)</td>
<td>$4.0 million</td>
<td>$5.7 million</td>
<td>$9.7 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures are rounded
Total Debt Service ($60M Scenario)

Annual Debt Service

Current Capital Plan Debt Projection

Additional BHS Debt Projection
Total Debt Service ($65M Scenario)

Annual Debt Service

- Current Capital Plan Debt Projection
- Additional BHS Debt Projection
Total Debt Service ($68.5M Scenario)

Annual Debt Service

Current Capital Plan Debt Projection

Additional BHS Debt Projection
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BHS Renovation</th>
<th>Other Debt</th>
<th>Total Debt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2020</td>
<td>$4 million</td>
<td>$42 million</td>
<td>$46 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60M Peak (FY22)</td>
<td>$59.1 million</td>
<td>$50.7 million</td>
<td>$109.8 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$65M Peak (FY22)</td>
<td>$64.1 million</td>
<td>$50.7 million</td>
<td>$114.8 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$68.5M Peak (FY22)</td>
<td>$67.5 million</td>
<td>$50.7 million</td>
<td>$118.2 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures are rounded. All debt retired in FY52.
Total Indebtedness ($60M Scenario)

- Current Capital Plan Debt Projection
- Additional BHS Debt Projection
Total Indebtedness ($65M Scenario)

Current Capital Plan Debt Projection

Additional BHS Debt Projection
Total Indebtedness ($68.5M Scenario)

Total Indebtedness

- Current Capital Plan Debt Projection
- Additional BHS Debt Projection
Proposed Building Discussion